UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/566,297	01/11/2007	Rainer Berghaus	0690-0127PUS1	9833
2292 7590 09/04/2008 BIRCH STEWART KOLASCH & BIRCH PO BOX 747 EALL S CHURCH, VA 22040 0747			EXAMINER	
			KLINKEL, KORTNEY L	
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1615	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/04/2008	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/566,297	BERGHAUS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kortney Klinkel	1615
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 J      This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This      Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under the second se	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) <u>1-33</u> are subject to restriction and/or	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examina 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposed applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to by the lead rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ction is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documen 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documen application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicationity documents have been received au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Page 2

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

NOTE: Claims 31-33 provide for the use of an alkoxylated alcohol but, since the claims do not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced. Furthermore, the claimed invention outlined by claims 31-33 is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The claimed invention does not fall within at least one of the four categories of patent eligible subject matter recited in 35 U.S.C. 101 (process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter). Accordingly, these claims have not been further treated on the merits. Should Applicants amend these claims to recite proper method claims as per standard US practice, they will be held to the same restriction requirement discussed below, namely they will be limited to a single species of composition.

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted. The inventions listed as Groups I(a)-I(m) do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule

13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons:

The special technical feature linking the species are compositions comprising at least one benzamide oxime derivative of the formula (I) and at least one alkoxylated alcohol. This element cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because the element is shown in the prior art.

In the present case, Eicken et al. (US 6420605) teaches compositions comprising at least one benzamide oxime derivative of the same generic group as instant formula (I) and at least one fatty alcohol polyglycol ether which falls within the generic term alkoxylated alcohol (see column 7, lines 19-25) as well as the generic structure depicted in claim 5 by formula (II). As a result, no special technical features exist among the claims because the inventions fail to make a contribution over the prior art. Accordingly, Groups I(a)-I(m) are not so linked by the same or a corresponding special technical feature as to form a single general inventive concept and therefore, Applicants are restricted to a single disclosed species of invention.

Stated another way, the election of a single invention involves the election a single disclosed composition which involves the election of a single disclosed benzamide oxime derivative or derivatives and a single disclosed alkoxylated alcohol or alcohols, and/or one or more specific additional fungicides and/or specific additional auxiliaries. These choices are encompassed by the following generic groups.

Group I(a), claim(s) 1-5, 6, 8-10 and 30 drawn to a composition comprising at least one benzamide oxime derivative of the formula (I) and at least one alkoxylated alcohol, wherein according to figure (II), m = 2 and n = 3.

Application/Control Number: 10/566,297

Art Unit: 1615

Group I(b), claim(s) 1-5, 6, 8, 11-12 and 30 drawn to a composition comprising at least one benzamide oxime derivative of the formula (I) and at least one alkoxylated alcohol, wherein according to figure (II), m = 2 and n = 5.

Group I(c), claim(s) 1-5, 7 and 30 drawn to a composition comprising at least one benzamide oxime derivative of the formula (I) and at least one alkoxylated alcohol, wherein according to figure (II), m = 2 and y = 0.

Group I(d), claim(s) 1-5, 13-15 and 30 drawn to a composition comprising at least one benzamide oxime derivative of the formula (I) and at least one alkoxylated alcohol, wherein according to figure (II), m = 3 and n = 2.

Group I(e), claim(s) 1-5, 16-17 and 30 drawn to a composition comprising at least one benzamide oxime derivative of the formula (I) and at least one alkoxylated alcohol, wherein according to figure (II), m = 5 and n = 2.

Group I(f), claim(s) 1-5, 18 and 30 drawn to a composition comprising at least one benzamide oxime derivative of the formula (I) and at least one alkoxylated alcohol, wherein the alcohol is 2-propylheptanol.

Group I(g), claim(s) 1-5, 19-20 and 30 drawn to a composition comprising at least one benzamide oxime derivative of the formula (I) and at least one alkoxylated alcohol, wherein the alcohol is a C13 oxo alcohol obtained by hydrogenation of hydroformylated timeric butene.

Group I(h), claim(s) 1-5, 19, 21 and 30 drawn to a composition comprising at least one benzamide oxime derivative of the formula (I) and at least one alkoxylated alcohol, wherein the alcohol is a C13 oxo alcohol obtained by hydroformylated dimeric hexene.

Group I(i), claim(s) 1-5, 22-23 and 30 drawn to a composition comprising at least one benzamide oxime derivative of the formula (I) and at least one alkoxylated alcohol, wherein the alcohol is a C10 oxo alcohol obtained by hydrogenation of hydroformylated timeric propene.

Group I(j), claim(s) 1-5, 24-25 and 30 drawn to a composition comprising at least one benzamide oxime derivative of the formula (Ia) and at least one alkoxylated alcohol.

Group I(k), claim(s) 1-5, 26-27 and 30 drawn to a composition comprising at least one benzamide oxime derivative of the formula (I) and at least one alkoxylated alcohol, and at least one additional fungicide.

Group I(I), claims(s) 1-5, 28 and 30 drawn to a composition comprising at least one benzamide oxime derivative of the formula (I) and at least one alkoxylated alcohol, and additional auxiliaries.

Group I(m), claim(s) 1-5, 29 and 30 drawn to a composition comprising at least one benzamide oxime derivative of the formula (I) and at least one alkoxylated alcohol, at least one additional fungicide and one or more auxiliaries.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Due to the complicated nature of the restriction, the restriction requirement is being made via written correspondence in lieu of a telephone interview.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kortney Klinkel, Ph.D. whose telephone number is

Application/Control Number: 10/566,297

Art Unit: 1615

(571)270-5239. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8am to 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Michael Woodward can be reached at (571)272-8373. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

KLK

/MP WOODWARD/

Page 6

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1615